

1 Vanessa R. Waldref  
2 United States Attorney  
3 Eastern District of Washington  
4 David M. Herzog  
5 Assistant United States Attorney  
6 Post Office Box 1494  
7 Spokane, WA 99210-1494  
8 Telephone: (509) 353-2767

9 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
10 FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF WASHINGTON

11 UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,

12 Plaintiff,

13 v.

14 REX MICHAEL KERN, JR.,

15 Defendant.

Case No.: 4:22-CR-6038-MKD-1

United States' Status Report and  
Revised Proposed Case Management  
Schedule

16 Plaintiff United States of America, by and through Vanessa R. Waldref,  
17 United States Attorney the Eastern District of Washington, and David M. Herzog,  
18 Assistant United States Attorney for the Eastern District of Washington, hereby  
19 submits the United States' Status Update and Revised Proposed Case Management  
20 Schedule in the above-captioned matter.

21 **A. Status of the Case**

22 **1. The Superseding Indictment**

23 On February 22, 2023, more than 70 days before the current trial date of  
24 May 8, 2023, the United States sought, and a federal Grand Jury returned, a  
25 Superseding Indictment. ECF No. 36. The Superseding Indictment charges  
26 Defendant Rex Michael Kern, Jr. ("Defendant") with criminal conduct that is  
27 alleged to have taken place in both February 2022 and July 2022 (as set forth in the  
28 United States' prior status report, ECF No. 31. )

1 Defendant is now charged with Possession with Intent to Distribute 50  
2 Grams or More of Actual (Pure) Methamphetamine, in violation of 21 U.S.C.  
3 § 841(a)(1), (b)(1)(A)(viii) (Counts 1 and 3), Felon in Possession of Firearms, in  
4 violation of 18 U.S.C. §§ 922(g)(1), 924(a)(2) (Counts 2 and 5), Possession with  
5 Intent to Distribute 40 Grams or More of a Mixture or Substance Containing a  
6 Detectable Amount of Fentanyl, in violation of 21 U.S.C. § 841(a)(1), (b)(1)(B)(vi)  
7 (Count 4), and Possession of Firearms During and in Relation to Drug Trafficking  
8 Crimes, in violation of 18 U.S.C. § 924(c)(1)(A) (Count 6). ECF No. 36.

9 The United States was mindful of the Court's concerns about the United  
10 States seeking a Superseding Indictment so close to the current trial date that the  
11 defense would necessarily require a trial continuance. Because the Superseding  
12 Indictment was returned more than 70 days prior to the trial date, the United States  
13 respectfully submits that any concerns about the need for potential severance have  
14 been ameliorated.

## 15 **2. Status of Discovery and Expert Reports**

16 On January 13, 2023, three days after Nick Marchi was appointed as defense  
17 counsel, the United States produced to the defense the discovery that was at that  
18 time in its possession, custody, and control, and had been processed in its USAF<sub>x</sub>  
19 system. That round of discovery included the vast majority of substantive reports,  
20 photographs, and warrants for all of the charges in the case, including Defendant's  
21 alleged misconduct in both February 2022 and July 2022 (that is, all of the charged  
22 conduct, including everything alleged in the Superseding Indictment). At that  
23 time, the United States represented that it would continue to receive, process, and  
24 produce additional discovery as needed, including lab reports for the drugs in the  
25 case, fingerprint reports for the guns, and the like.

26 On February 10, 2023, the United States produced its initial round of expert  
27 reports and summaries, noting that it would need to supplement as additional  
28 reports, such as lab reports for the drugs, came back.

1 On February 14, 2023, the United States offered Defendant a plea  
2 agreement, which Defendant did not accept. With the case in a trial posture, the  
3 United States requested, received, and produced expedited lab results, along with a  
4 small amount of other supplemental discovery, such as fingerprint analysis for the  
5 guns from Defendant's alleged July 2022 conduct, and photographs of the prints on  
6 the actual guns. This material has continued to be produced on a rolling basis.

7 **3. Defendant's Request For A Continuance and *Flynt***

8 On March 7, 2023, Defendant filed a motion for a continuance. ECF No. 43.  
9 Because of the court's stated concerns about extensive continuances, the United  
10 States indicated to the defense and the Court in an email that it objected to the  
11 continuance request. Defendant has noticed the hearing on the continuance request  
12 for April 20, 2023, three weeks before the current trial date.

13 To be clear, the United States believes that Defendant has had the  
14 information relevant to trial (for example, the amount of drugs at issue, if not the  
15 actual lab reports confirming the weights) with enough time to prepare for trial on  
16 May 8, 2023, in the exercise of due diligence. At the same time, the United States  
17 has significant concerns that the Ninth Circuit may not come to that same  
18 conclusion after applying the four-factor test for abuse of discretion set forth in  
19 *United States v. Flynt*, 756 F.2d 1352, 1358 (9th Cir. 1985).

20 Under *Flynt*, the Ninth Circuit evaluates the District Court's denial of a  
21 pretrial continuance request for the following: (1) whether the defense has been  
22 diligent or the request for a continuance appears to be a delaying tactic; (2) the  
23 usefulness of the continuance (how likely it is that the purpose of the continuance  
24 would have been achieved had it been granted); (3) the inconvenience to the Court  
25 or government; and (4) prejudice of a denial to the Defendant. *United States v.*  
26 *Kloehn*, 620 F.3d 1122, 1127 (9th Cir. 2010). The District Court abuses its  
27 discretion if its denial of a continuance is "arbitrary or unreasonable." *Id.* (quoting  
28 *Flynt*).

1 Here, defense counsel was appointed on January 10, 2023, when the trial  
2 date was February 6, 2023, necessitating a fairly obvious need for a first  
3 continuance.

4 Although the Superseding Indictment was returned on February 22, 2023,  
5 more than 70 days from the new trial date of May 8, 2023, it does increase  
6 Defendant's mandatory sentencing exposure from 10 years to 15 years, which  
7 could affect defense strategy.

8 Although the minimal supplemental discovery that has been produced on a  
9 rolling basis since the middle of January 2023 is in no way surprising or  
10 unpredictable, it is also true that even with expedited requests, the United States  
11 did not receive the formal drug lab reports back from DEA until March 14, 2023  
12 (and produced them on March 15, 2023).

13 The United States reads Defendant's motion to continue (ECF No. 43) as a  
14 representation that under these circumstances, counsel cannot represent Defendant  
15 at trial on May 8, 2023, in a constitutionally adequate manner, such that the denial  
16 of a continuance request would in fact prejudice Defendant.

17 Thus, although the United States is highly aware of the Court's concerns  
18 about unnecessary delay, under the circumstances in this case the United States is  
19 even more concerned about proceeding to trial on the current date, obtaining a  
20 conviction against Defendant, and having to try the case a second time because the  
21 Ninth Circuit concludes that the denial of the current continuance request  
22 constitutes an abuse of discretion under the factors set forth in *Flynt*.

23 Accordingly, the United States formally withdraws its objection to  
24 Defendant's continuance request. *See* ECF No. 43.

25 The Court and parties have confirmed that a trial date of August 14, 2023,  
26 will meet the scheduling needs of all concerned if the Court grants the continuance  
27 request.

28 Defendant has waived his Speedy Trial rights through that date.

**B. Revised Proposed Case Management Schedule**

Based on the parties' communications with the Court's chambers, the United States anticipates a new proposed trial date of August 14, 2023, if the Court grants Defendant's motion to continue. Accordingly, the United States proposes the following joint revised case management schedule, which contains dates that generally correlate to the existing pretrial deadlines. The United States has met and conferred with defense counsel, Nick Marchi, and has confirmed that the defense has no objection to these proposed dates:

<b>Supplemental Expert Witness Disclosures</b>	<b>May 11, 2023</b>
<b>All pretrial motions (discovery, <i>Daubert</i>, <i>in limine</i>)</b>	
Motions Filed:	<b>June 1, 2023</b>
Responses Filed	<b>June 8, 2023</b>
Replies Filed:	<b>June 15, 2023</b>
<b>PRETRIAL CONFERENCE</b>	<b>July 27, 2023</b>
<b><i>Deadline for motions to continue trial</i></b>	<b>1:30 p.m. - RICHLAND</b>
CIs' identities and willingness to be interviewed disclosed to Defendant (if applicable)	<b>July 31, 2023</b>
Grand Jury transcripts of Case Agent, CIs, and Other Witnesses produced to Defendant	<b>July 31, 2023</b>
Trial briefs, jury instructions, verdict forms, and requested <i>voir dire</i> filed and emailed to the Court	<b>July 31, 2023</b>
Exhibit lists filed and emailed to the Court	<b>August 7, 2023</b>
Witness lists filed and emailed to the Court	<b>August 7, 2023</b>
Exhibit binders delivered to all parties and to the Court	<b>August 7, 2023</b>
Delivery of JERS-compatible digital evidence files to the Courtroom Deputy	<b>August 7, 2023</b>
Trial notices filed with the Court	<b>August 7, 2023</b>
Technology readiness meeting (in-person, in Richland)	<b>August 7, 2023</b>
<b>FINAL PRETRIAL CONFERENCE</b>	<b>August 14, 2023</b>
	<b>8:30 a.m. - RICHLAND</b>
<b>JURY TRIAL</b>	<b>August 14, 2023</b>
	<b>9:00 a.m. - RICHLAND</b>

1 If the Court is inclined to deny Defendant's continuance request, the United  
2 States requests an expedited hearing on the motion as soon as possible. A hearing  
3 on the continuance motion on April 20, 2023, is too close to the May 8, 2023, trial  
4 date to coordinate with trial witnesses, who need certainty for scheduling purposes.  
5 This is a drug and gun case that, absent stipulations, will require the United States  
6 to call chemists, fingerprint experts, DEA witnesses, ATF witnesses, and local law  
7 enforcement officers.

8 Dated: March 16, 2023

Vanessa R. Waldref  
United States Attorney

9  
10 s/ David M. Herzog  
11 David M. Herzog  
12 Assistant United States Attorney  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28

**CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE**

I hereby certify that on March 16, 2023, I electronically filed the foregoing with the Clerk of the Court using the CM/ECF System, which will send notification of such filing to Defendant's counsel of record using the CM/ECF system.

s/ David M. Herzog  
David M. Herzog  
Assistant United States Attorney